

April 28, 1975

Anyway, this would narrow the gap between outstate judges and city judges considerably, make it more equitable there, I feel. It also is an increase. It is certainly a fair increase, maybe, even too fair, but I think it's probably one that is acceptable to most. It is 26.5, I believe, and 29.5, which is a substantial cut over the original proposal and a substantial increase over the present salary. The argument has been made that if we are going to have competent judges, we have got to have competent and respectable salaries. I think this is a very respectable salary and we would hope to improve the competence of the judges, if not overnight, at least gradually. I urge you to adopt the amendment.

PRESIDENT: The question is, the adoption of Senator DeCamp's amendment? Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

SENATOR DeCAMP: A Call of the House.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp moves that the House be put under Call. The question is, shall the House go under Call? Record your vote.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Would the Clerk read the amendment? There seems to be some confusion on the amounts. OK.

PRESIDENT: Have you voted? No, on whether to go under Call or not. Record.

CLERK: 19 ayes, 4 nays to go under Call.

PRESIDENT: The House is under Call. Everybody take their chairs, please, and record your presence.

CLERK: Senator Johnson voting aye.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter indicates no.

CLERK: Senator Marvel voting no. Senator Bereuter voting aye.

PRESIDENT: No, no.

CLERK: I am sorry. Senator Bereuter voting no.

PRESIDENT: Senator Mills voting no.

CLERK: Senator Dworak voting no.

PRESIDENT: Senator Savage no. Nichol no. Senator DeCamp asked that the Clerk read the amendment. The Chair would find that in order. What?

CLERK: How did Mills vote?

PRESIDENT: I think Senator Mills voted no. Senator Cavanaugh, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I don't know what the amounts are.

PRESIDENT: All right. Would...could you read the two amounts, Mr. Clerk?